



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/965,767	09/27/2001	Pieter Theunis de Leeuw	9424.161US01	3418		
23552	7590 06/09/2003					
MERCHANT & GOULD PC		EXAMINER				
P.O. BOX 2903			LEO, LEONARD R			
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0903					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3743	10		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applic	ant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/965,767	DE LE	DE LEEUW, PIETER THEUNIS			
		Examiner	Art Un	it			
		Leonard R. Leo	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>14 /</u>	March 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL		is action is non-final.					
•	n is in condition for allowa		al matters, prosecution	on as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-4</i> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)⊡ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statement	Orawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-41 ice of Informal Patent Ap er:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/965,767

Art Unit: 3743

ARRESTEE CARTESTEE COLOR CONTROL CO

というのできるが、1大学の表現を見いいというが、これではないできますが、これでは、1大学のでは、1大学のでは、1大学のできないというできない。 11大学のできない 1大学のできない 11大学のできない 11大

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed March 14, 2003 has been entered. Claim 5 is cancelled, and claims 1-4 are pending.

Specification

A substitute specification with the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the facsimile is illegible for printing.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gardner.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Vollhardt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3743

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner or Vollhardt in view of Kessler.

Gardner or Vollhardt discloses all the claimed limitations except detachable plugs.

Kessler discloses a heat exchanger (Figures 5-7) comprising supply and discharge channels 33 connected a plurality of tube bores 31, and detachable plugs 32 for the purpose of ease of maintenance and assembly.

Since Gardner or Vollhardt and Kessler are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Kessler would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Gardner or Vollhardt.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Gardner or Vollhardt detachable plugs for the purpose of ease of maintenance and assembly as recognized by Kessler.

Response to Arguments

The substitute specification is not acceptable. Facsimile transmissions are not easily read by the scanner for printing.

Applicant's remarks have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. The claims are not "picture" claims and do not patently distinguish over the prior art of record. For example, claim 1 merely recites the bores "extending ... between a back face and a top face of the tube plate." As such, the bores 14 of Gardner extend "between" a back face and a top face of tube plate 4. Similarly, Vollhardt

Application/Control Number: 09/965,767

Art Unit: 3743

のは、中国のでは、一個のでは、「中国のでは、中国のでは

Vollhardt discloses a tube plate 4 having a number of sockets 9 connected to a corresponding number of Y-piece units. A pair of elements 3 with Y-piece units at opposed ends is read as a tube, since the tube plate connection is only via welds to the Y-piece units.

The rejection of claims 3-4 in view of Kessler are deemed correct for lack of any argument by applicant, other than the reference does not remedy the shortcomings of the primary references.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature, relating to the status of this application or clerical nature (i.e. missing or incomplete references, missing or incomplete Office actions or forms) should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-

Application/Control Number: 09/965,767

Art Unit: 3743

5648. Status of the application may also be obtained from the Internet: http://pair.uspto.gov/cgi-bin/final/home.pl

Any inquiry concerning this Office action should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3743

June 6, 2003